Original Research

Antihintic usage at a tertiary healthcare

Point prevalence study on the use of antibiotics at a tertiary healthcare institution during the absence of the COVID-19 wave

	Antibiotic asage at a tertiary neutricare		
	Oktay Yapıcı		
	Oktay Tapici		
Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbio	Sloay Balıkocir University Balıkesir Turkey		
Department of infectious Diseases and Chinical Microbic	Jiogy, Dailesii, Turkey		

Aim: The COVID -19 pandemic still continues in waves. In this study, we aimed to identify the antibiotic prescribing approach and inappropriate antibiotic ratio, and to improve antimicrobial stewardship.

Materials and Methods: This study is single-center, cross-sectional, and retrospective. We evaluated antibiotic usage using one-day point prevalence. The study was conducted on patients admitted to surgical wards, internal wards and intensive care units.

Results: Of the study participants, 120 (52%) used antibiotics. Of the patients using antibiotics, 52 (43%) were male, and 68 (57%) were female. Of these patients, 65 (54%) were hospitalized in surgical units, 35 (30%) in internal units, and 20 (16%) in intensive care units. In 77 patient, antibiotics were started correctly and on the spot, and in 43, inappropriate antibiotic use was found. In these patients, 45% of antibiotics were started empirically, 21.7% based on culture, and 33.3% prophylactically.

Discussion: The misuse of antibiotics, which is one of the most commonly consumed drugs, is a global problem that threatens not only the health of the patient, but also the health of the entire community. When antibiotics are used too much, especially in health institutions, resistant strains may cause selection and spread. Improving antimicrobial prescribing will help control antimicrobial resistance.

Antibiotic Usage, Point Prevalence, Tertiary Healthcare Hospital

DOI: 10.4328/ACAM.21583 Received: 2023-01-10 Accepted: 2023-02-11 Published Online: 2023-02-24 Printed: 2023-03-01 Ann Clin Anal Med 2023;14(3):251-253 Corresponding Author: Oktay Yapıcı, Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Balıkesir University, 10440, Bigadiç, Balıkesir, Turkey. E-mail: yapicio@hotmail.com P: +90 546 542 07 21 F: +90 266 612 10 10

Corresponding Author ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5472-9919

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Balıkesir University Medical Faculty (Date: 2023-04-06, No: 2023/06)

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance, defined as one of the top ten threats to global health by the World Health Organization (WHO), is a public health problem that continues to be importantworldwide with the COVID-19 pandemic [1].

If the current trend of inappropriate and excessive use of antibiotics continues, it is estimated to cause 10 million deaths worldwide by 2050 [2].

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly impacted antibiotic use and antibiotic stewardship [3].

Resulting in a worry about antimicrobial resistance due to the inappropriate rise in antibiotic use during this pandemic [4,5]. Inappropriate use of antibiotics is one of the major causes of antibiotic resistance.

Point prevalence studies (PPS) provide quick ways to understand the quantity and quality of antimicrobial prescribing, which helps antimicrobial stewardship [6].

The COVID -19 pandemic still continues in waves. We conducted a point prevalence study on the use of antibiotics at a tertiary healthcare institution during the absence of the COVID-19 wave.

In this study, we aimed to identify antibiotic prescribing approach and inappropriate antibiotic ratios, and to improve antimicrobial stewardship.

Material and Methods

Ethical consent

This study was approved by the Non-Invasive Clinical Ethics Committee of Balıkesir University Medical Faculty on Junuary 04, 2023 (no: 2023/06).

This study is single-center, cross-sectional, and retrospective. We evaluated antibiotic usage using one-day point prevalence. Patients admitted to surgical wards, internal wards and intensive care units (ICUs) on 3 January 2023 were included in our study.

Inclusion criteria

We included all patients over the age of 18 who were hospitalized in wards or intensive care units during the surveillance period.

Exclusion criteria

Patients under the age of 18 and hospitalized in the outpatient clinic and the emergency department were excluded from the study.

Medical records in case files, bedside treatment charts, culture reports, and medical computer records were evaluated by the study team.

The number/percentage of patients prescribed antimicrobials was calculated. Antimicrobial prescriptions were classified as empirical, prophylactic, or culture-based. Antimicrobial prescriptions were evaluated as appropriate/inappropriate for the indication. The appropriateness of antibiotic use was assessed by an Infectious Diseases specialist.

Biostatistics

SPSS 20.0 package program was used for statistical analysis. The chi-square and Fisher's exact chi-square test were used to compare categorical variables. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Ethical Approval

Ethics Committee approval for the study was obtained.

Results

It was determined that 120 (52%) of the 230 hospitalized patients included in the study used antibiotics. Of the patients using antibiotics, 52 (43%) were male and 68 (57%) were female (Table 1). Of these patients, 65 (54%) were hospitalized in surgical units, 35 (30%) in internal units, and 20 (16%) in intensive care units.

In:77 patients, antibiotics were started correctly and on the spot, and in 43 patients, inappropriate antibiotic use was found. There was no inappropriate antibiotic use in patients for whom infection consultation was requested (p<0.0001).

We found that the highest rate of appropriate antibiotic use was in the intensive care unit, while the lowest rate in empirical use was in internal services.

In these patients, 45% of antibiotics were started empirically, 21.7% culture-based, and 33.3% prophylactically (Table 2).

Table 1. Comparison according to the appropriate antibiotic usage.

		Appropriate usage n:77	Inappropriate usage n:43	р
Gender	Women/Men	30/47	22/21	0.249
Age		63±16	56±17	0.031*
With IDS approval	Empirical	13	27	0.001
	Culture-based	38	16	
	Available	26	0	0.001
Patients admitted to the clinic	Adult medical wards	27	8	
	Adult surgical wards	31	34	0.001
	Adult ICU	19	1	

Fisher's exact chi-square test *p<0.05, Student's T-test* p<0.05 Infectious disease specialist (IDS)

Table 2. Distribution of reasons for antibiotic use according to wards.

		Prophylactic n:40	Empirical n:54	Culture- based n:26	р
Patients admitted to the clinic	Adult medical wards	5	23	7	
	Adult surgical wards	33	20	12	0.001
	Adult ICU	2	11	7	

Fisher's exact chi-square test *p<0.05

Discussion

The misuse of antibiotics, which is one of the most commonly consumed drugs, is a global problem that threatens not only the health of the patient, but also the health of the entire community [10]. When antibiotics are used too much, especially in health institutions, strains of resistance may cause selection and its spreading. In our study, we found that more than half of the hospitalized patients have used antibiotics. In Turkish hospitals, the point prevalence and inconvenience of antimicrobial use were similarly high [7]. In a point prevalence study conducted abroad, the rate of antibiotic use was over 50% [8].

However, comparison is difficult due to different assessment

methods and different reporting routes in different countries, at different times. In the literature, the rate of inappropriate antibiotic use in the hospital setting ranges from 9% to 64% [9].

In a point prevalence study on antibiotic use in Turkey by Tartarı et al., similar to our study, 98% of cases where inappropriate antibiotics were used were found to be a group without an infection specialist consultation [11].

High rates of antibiotic use have generally been associated with inappropriate antibiotic use and the development of antibiotic resistance and healthcare-associated infections [12].

Empirical antibiotic prescription is defined as the initial prescribing of antibiotics in the absence of culture reports [1,13]. Empirical antibiotic use was found to be at the forefront in internal service patients. Similar studies conducted in Turkey determined that more than two-thirds of antimicrobials were prescribed empirically in hospitals [7].

Culture-based, agent-directed antibiotic prescription has been defined as antibiotic prescribed after the pathogen has been identified and reported [13].

In the treatment for the causative agent, the highest rate of appropriate antibiotic use was found in the intensive care unit. The reason for this was thought to be the routine evaluation of intensive care patients by an infection specialist.

Prophylactic antibiotic prescription is defined as an antibiotic prescribed to prevent an infectious complication of a disease or to prevent an infectious complication resulting from a surgical intervention [14]. The high rate of prophylactic antibiotic use in surgical wards was thought to be due to surgical prophylaxis.

The limitations of our study were that only inpatients were included, and antibiotics prescribed to patients in the outpatient clinic were not included.

Continuous evaluation of antibiotic use is significant to maintain the efficacy of antibiotics and to minimize resistance [15].

As a result of the study, it was determined that antibiotic use was high with the point prevalence method and that antibiotic management programs are needed for appropriate antibiotic use. Improved prescribing of antimicrobials will help control antimicrobial resistance.

Scientific Responsibility Statement

The authors declare that they are responsible for the article's scientific content including study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, writing, some of the main line, or all of the preparation and scientific review of the contents and approval of the final version of the article.

Animal and human rights statement

All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. No animal or human studies were carried out by the authors for this article.

Funding: None

Conflict of interest

None of the authors received any type of financial support that could be considered potential conflict of interest regarding the manuscript or its submission.

References

- 1. Sheikh S, Vishwas G, Aggarwal M, Bhattacharya S, Kumari P, Parashar L, et al. Antibiotic point prevalence survey at a tertiary healthcare hospital in India: Identifying strategies to improve the antibiotic stewardship program immediately after a COVID-19 wave. Infect Prev Pract. 2022; 4(4):1-8
- 2. Pulingam T, Parumasivam T, Gazzali AM, Sulaiman AM, Chee JY, Lakshmanan M, et al. Antimicrobial resistance: Prevalence, economic burden, mechanisms of

- resistance and strategies to overcome. Eur J Pharm Sci. 2022;170:106103.
- 3. Şencan İ, Çağ Y, Karabay O, Kurtaran B, Güçlü E, Öğütlü A, et al. Antibiotic use and Influencing Factors Among Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: A Multicenter Point-Prevalence Study from Turkey. Balkan Med J. 2022;39(3):209-17
- 4. Tan SH, Ng TM, Tay HL, Yap MY, Heng ST, Loo AYX, et al. A point prevalence survey to assess antibiotic prescribing in patients hospitalized with confirmed and suspected coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2021;24:45-7.
- 5. Satria YAA, Utami MS, Prasudi A. Prevalence of antibiotics prescription amongst patients with and without COVID-19 in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pathog Glob Health. 2022; 22:1-13.
- 6. Versporten A, PZarb P, Caniaux I, Gros MF, Drapier N, Miller M, et al. Antimicrobial consumption and resistance in adult hospital inpatients in 53 countries: results of an internet-based global point prevalence survey. Lancet Glob Health. 2018; 6(6):619-29
- 7. Selcuk A. The point prevalence and inappropriateness of antibiotic use at hospitals in Turkey: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Chemother. 2021:33(6):390-9
- 8. Levy Hara G, Rojas-Cortés R, Molina León HF, Dreser Mansilla A, Alfonso Orta I, Rizo-Amezquita JN, et al. Latin American Point Prevalent Survey Study Group. Point prevalence survey of antibiotic use in hospitals in Latin American countries. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2022;77(3):807-15.
- 9. Moulin E, Boillat-Blanco N, Zanetti G, Plüss-Suard C, de Valliére S, Senn L. Point prevalence study of antibiotic appropriateness and possibility of early discharge from hospital among patients treated with antibiotics in a Swiss University Hospital. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2022;11(1):66.
- 10. Wall S. Prevention of antibiotic resistance an epidemiological scoping review to identify research categories and knowledge gaps. Glob Health Action. 2019:12(1):1756191.
- 11. Tartarı AS , Denk A, Özden M, Kırık Y.Ç, Akbulut A, Demirdağet K. Fırat Üniversitesi Hastanesi nde Antibiyotik Kullanımı Uygunluğu Araştırması: Nokta Prevalans Çalışması (Compliance Study of Antibiotic Use in Fırat University Hospital: A Point Prevalence Study). ANKEM Derg. 2015;29(1):16-20.
- 12. Labi AK, Obeng-Nkrumah N, Nartey ET, Bjerrum S, Adu-Aryee NA, Ofori-Adjei YA, et al. Antibiotic use in a tertiary healthcare facility in Ghana: a point prevalence survey. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2018:7:15.
- 13. Strich JR, Heil EL, Masur H. Considerations for Empiric Antimicrobial Therapy in Sepsis and Septic Shock in an Era of Antimicrobial Resistance. J Infect Dis. 2020; 222(Suppl.2):S119-31.
- 14. Deelen JWT, Visser CE, Prins JM, van Hest RM. Antimicrobial prophylaxis outside the operating theatre, an audit in a university hospital. BMC Infect Dis. 2017;17(1):296.
- 15. Iskandar K, Molinier L, Hallit S, Sartelli M, Hardcastle TC, Haque M, et al. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in low- and middle-income countries: a scattered picture. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2021; 10(1):63.

How to cite this article:

Oktay Yapıcı. Point prevalence study on the use of antibiotics at a tertiary healthcare institution during the absence of the COVID-19 wave. Ann Clin Anal Med 2023;14(3):251-253

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Balıkesir University Medical Faculty (Date: 2023-04-06, No: 2023/06)